Object-Oriented Design and Programming

Overview of Object-Oriented Design Principles and Techniques

Douglas C. Schmidt

www.cs.wustl.edu/∼schmidt/

schmidt@cs.wustl.edu

Washington University, St. Louis

Deja Vu?

- In the past: *Structured* = *Good*
- Today: *Object-Oriented* = *Good*
- *e.g.*,

  Object-oriented languages are good
  Ada is an object-oriented language

  Therefore, Ada is good

- Note, there is even an object-oriented COBOL!

Goals

- Demystify the hype surrounding OOD and OOP
- Focus on OOD/OOP *principles*, *methods*, *notations*, and *tools*
- Relate OOD/OOP to traditional development methods

Overview

- *What are object-oriented (OO) methods?*
  - OO methods provide a set of techniques for analyzing, decomposing, and modularizing software system architectures
  - In general, OO methods are characterized by structuring the system architecture on the basis of its *objects* (and classes of objects) rather than the *actions* it performs
- *What are the benefits of OO?*
  - OO enhances key *software quality factors* of a system and its constituent components
- *What is the rationale for using OO?*
  - In general, systems evolve and functionality changes, but objects and classes tend to remain stable over time

Software Quality Factors

- Object-oriented techniques enhance key external and internal software quality factors, *e.g.*,

  1. External (visible to end-users)

     (a) *Correctness*

     (b) *Robustness* and *reliability*

     (c) *Performance*

  2. Internal (visible to developers)

     (a) *Modularity*

     (b) *Flexibility/Extensibility*

     (c) *Reusability*

     (d) *Compatibility* (via standard/uniform interfaces)

OOA, OOD, and OOP

- Object-oriented methods may be applied to different phases in the software lifecycle
  - *e.g.*, analysis, design, implementation, etc.
- OO analysis (OOA) is a process of *discovery*
  - Where a development team models and understands the requirements of the system
- OO design (OOD) is a process of *invention* and *adaptation*
  - Where the development team creates the abstractions and mechanisms necessary to meet the system's behavioral requirements determined during analysis

OOA, OOD, and OOP (cont'd)

- Is it also useful to distinguish between object-oriented design (OOD) and object-oriented programming (OOP)
  - OOD is relatively independent of the programming language used
  - OOP is primarily concerned with programming language and software implementation issues
- Obviously, the more consistent the OOD and OOP techniques, the easier they are to apply successfully in real-life...

OOA, OOD, and OOP (cont'd)

- Basic Definitions

  1. *Object-Oriented Design*
     - A method for decomposing software architectures based on the *objects* every system or subsystem manipulates
       * Rather than "the" function it is meant to ensure

  2. *Object-Oriented Programming*
     - The construction of software systems as structured collections of *Abstract Data Type* (ADT) implementations, plus *inheritance* and *dynamic binding*

Object-Oriented Design Topics

- Object-oriented design concepts include:
  - *Decomposition/Composition*
  - *Abstraction*
    - *Modularity*
    - *Information Hiding*
    - *Virtual Machine Hierarchies*
  - *Separating Policy and Mechanism*
  - *Subset Identification and Program Families*
  - *Reusability*
- Main purpose of these design concepts is to manage software system complexity by improving software quality factors

Object-Oriented Programming Topics

- Object-oriented programming features and techniques include
  - *Data abstraction and information hiding*
  - *Active (rather than passive) types*
  - *Genericity*
  - *Inheritance and dynamic binding*
  - *Programming by contract*
  - *Assertions and exception handling*
- Throughout the course we'll discuss how these OOP features and techniques improve software quality
  - *e.g.*, correctness, reusability, extensibility, reliability, etc.

Review: Goals of the Design Phase

- *Decompose System into Modules*
  - *i.e.*, identify the software architecture via "clustering"
    - In general, clusters should maximize *cohesion* and minimize *coupling*
- *Determine Relations Between Modules*
  - Identify and specify module dependencies
    - *e.g.*, inheritance, composition, uses, etc.
  - Determine the form of intermodule communication, *e.g.*,
    - global variables
    - parameterized function calls
    - shared memory
    - RPC or message passing

Review: Goals of the Design Phase (cont'd)

- *Specify Module Interfaces*
  - Interfaces should be well-defined
    - facilitate independent module testing
    - improve group communication
- *Describe Module Functionality*
  - Informally
    - *e.g.*, comments or documentation
  - Formally
    - *e.g.*, via module interface specification languages

Decomposition/Composition

- Decomposition and composition are concepts common to all software life-cycle and design techniques
- The basic concepts are very simple:
  1. Select a portion of the problem (initially, the whole problem)
  2. Decompose the selected portion into one or more constitutent components using the design method of choice
     - *e.g.*, functional vs. data structured vs. object-oriented
  3. Determine and depict how the components interact (*i.e.*, composition)
  4. Repeat steps 1 through 3 until some termination criteria is met (*e.g.*, customer is satisfied, run out of money, etc. ;-))

Decomposition/Composition (cont'd)

- A major challenge of the design phase for a system is to determine what the primary units of decomposition and composition ought to be
- Another way of looking at this is to ask "at what level of abstraction should the modules be specified?"
- Typical units of decomposition and composition include:
  - *Subsystems*
  - *Virtual machine levels*
  - *Classes*
  - *Functions*

Decomposition/Composition (cont'd)

- Some principles for guiding the decomposition and composition process
  - Since design decisions transcend execution time, modules often do not correspond to execution steps...
  - Decompose so as to limit the effect of any one design decision on the rest of the system
  - Remember, anything that permeates the system will be expensive to change
  - Modules should be specified by all information needed to use the module and *nothing more*
  - Try to compose the system by reusing existing components if possible

Abstraction

- *Motivation*
  - Abstraction provides a way to manage complexity by emphasizing essential characteristics and suppressing implementation details
- Traditional abstraction mechanisms
  - *Name abstraction*
  - *Expression abstraction*
  - *Procedural abstraction*
    - *e.g.*, closed subroutines
  - *Data abstraction*
    - *e.g.*, ADTs
  - *Control abstraction*
    - iterators, loops, multitasking, etc.

Modularity

- *Motivation*
  - Modularity is an essential characteristic of good designs since it:
    - Enables developers to reduce overall system complexity via *decentralized* software architectures
      - *i.e.*, *divide and conquer*
    - Enhances *scalability* by supporting independent and concurrent development by multiple personnel
      - *i.e.*, *Separation of concerns*
- To be both useful and reusable, modules should possess
  1. Well-specified *abstract interfaces*
  2. High *cohesion* and low *coupling*

Criteria for Evaluating Design Methods

- *Modular Decomposability*
  - Does the method aid decomposing a new problem into several separate subproblems?
    - *e.g.*, top-down functional design
- *Modular Composability*
  - Does the method aid constructing new systems from existing software components?
    - *e.g.*, bottom-up design
- *Modular Understandability*
  - Are modules separately understandable by a human reader
    - *e.g.*, how *tightly coupled* are they?

Criteria for Evaluating Design Methods (cont'd)

- *Modular Continuity*
  - Do small changes to the specification affect a localized and limited number of modules?
- *Modular Protection*
  - Are the effects of run-time abnormalities confined to a small number of related modules?
- *Modular Compatibility*
  - Do the modules have well-defined, standard and/or uniform interfaces?
    - *e.g.*, "principle of least surprise"

Principles for Ensuring Modular Designs

- *Language Support for Modular Units*
  - Modules must correspond to syntactic units in the language used
- *Few Interfaces*
  - Every module should communicate with as few others as possible
- *Small Interfaces (Weak Coupling)*
  - If any two modules communicate at all, they should exchange as little information as possible

Principles for Ensuring Modular Designs (cont'd)

- *Explicit Interfaces*
  - Whenever two modules A and B communicate, this must be obvious from the text of A or B or both
- *Information Hiding*
  - All information about a module should be private to the module unless it is specifically declared public

Information Hiding

- *Motivation*
  - Details of design decisions that are subject to change should be hidden behind abstract interfaces
    - *i.e.*, modules
  - Information hiding is one means to enhance abstraction
- Typical information to hide includes:
  - *Data representations*
  - *Algorithms*
  - *Input and Output Formats*
  - *Policies and/or mechanisms*
  - *Lower-level module interfaces*

Virtual Machines

- *Motivation*
  - To reduce overall complexity, software system architectures may be decomposed into, more manageable "virtual machine" units
- A virtual machine provides an extended "software instruction set"
  - Provides additional data types and associated "software instructions" that extend the underlying hardware instruction set
  - Virtual machines allow incremental extensions to existing "application programmatic interfaces" (APIs)

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Common examples of virtual machines include
  - *Computer Architectures*
    - *e.g.*, compiler $\rightarrow$ assembler $\rightarrow$ object code $\rightarrow$ microcode $\rightarrow$ gates, transistors, signals, etc.
  - *Communication protocol stacks*
    - *e.g.*, ISO OSI reference model, Internet reference model

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Several challenges must be overcome to effectively use virtual machines as an architectural structuring technique:
  - *Ensuring Adequate Performance*:
    - It is difficult to obtain good performance at level *N*, if below *N* are not implemented efficiently
    - This often requires *implementing* the virtual machine differently than the design may dictate...
  - *Alleviating Inter-level Dependencies*
    - To maximize reuse, it is essential to eliminate/reduce dependencies "between" virtual machine levels...
    - Therefore, virtual machines are often organized into hierarchical *layers* or *levels of abstraction*

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- A "hierarchy" may be defined to reduce module interactions by restricting the topology of relationships between virtual machines
- A relation defines a hierarchy if it partitions units into levels
  - Level 0 is the set of all units that use no other units
  - Level i is the set of all units that use at least one unit at level $< i$ and no unit at level $> i$
- Advantages of hierarchical structuring
  - *Facilitates independent development of levels or layers*
  - *Isolates ramifications of change*
  - *Enables rapid prototyping*

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Relations that define hierarchies:
  - *Uses*
  - *Is-Composed-Of*
  - *Is-A*
  - *Has-A*
- The first two are general to all design methods, the latter two are more particular to object-oriented design and programming

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation
  - *X* Uses *Y* if the correct functioning of *X* depends on the availability of a correct implementation of *Y*
  - Note, *uses* is not necessarily the same as *invokes*:
    - Some invocations are not *uses* relations
      - *e.g.*, error logging
    - Some *uses* relations don't involve direct invocations
      - *e.g.*, message passing, interrupts, shared memory access
  - A simple, but effect design heuristic is to design *uses* relations that yield a hierarchy
    - *i.e.*, avoid cycles in the "uses graph"

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation (cont'd)
  - Allow X to use Y when:
    - X is simpler because it uses Y
      - *e.g.*, standard C library routines, OSI layers
    - Y is not substantially more complex because it is not allowed to use X
      - *i.e.*, hierarchies should be designed to be useful, and not just to blindly satisfy software engineering principles
    - There is a useful subset containing Y and not X
      - *i.e.*, allows sharing and reuse of Y
    - There is no conceivably useful subset containing X but not Y
      - *i.e.*, Y is necessary for X to function correctly

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation (cont'd)
  - How should recursion be handled?
    - Group X and Y as a single entity in the uses relation
  - A hierarchy in the *uses* relation is essential for designing non-trivial reusable software systems
  - Note that certain software systems require some form of controlled violation of a uses *hierarchy*
    - *e.g.*, asynchronous communication protocols, call-back schemes, signal handling, etc.
    - *Upcalls* are one way to control these non-hierarchical dependencies

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-Composed-Of Relation
  - The *is-composed-of* relationship illustrates how the system is statically decomposed into its constituent components
  - X *is-composed-of* $\{x_i\}$ if X is a group of units x_i that share some common purpose
  - A graphical description of a system's architecture may be specified by the *is-composed-of* relation such that:
    - Non-terminal are "virtual" code
    - Terminals are the only units represented by "actual" code

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-Composed-Of Relation (cont'd)
  - Many programming languages support the *is-composed-of* relation via some higher-level `module` or `record` structuring technique
  - Note: the following are not equivalent:
    1. Level (virtual machine)
    2. Module (an entity that hides a secret)
    3. A subprogram (a code unit)
    4. A record (a passive data structure)
  - Modules and levels need not be identical, as a module may have several components on several levels of a uses hierarchy
    - Likewise, a level may be implemented via several modules...

Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-A and Has-A Relations
  - These two relationships are associated with object-oriented design and programming languages that possess inheritance and class features
  - *Is-A* (*descendant* or *inheritance*) relationship
    * class X possesses *Is-A* relationship with class Y if instances of class X are specialization of class Y
    * *e.g.*, a square is a specialization of a rectangle, which is a specialization of a shape...
  - *Has-A* (*client* or *composition*) relationship
    * class X possesses a *Has-A* relationship with class Y if instances of class X contain an instance(s) of class Y
    * *e.g.*, a car has an engine and four tires...

Separate Policies and Mechanisms

- *Motivation*
  - Separate concerns between the *what/when* and the *how* at both the design and implementation phases
- Multiple policies may be implemented via a set of shared mechanisms
  - *e.g.*, OS scheduling and virtual memory paging
- Same policy can be implemented by multiple mechanisms
  - *e.g.*, reliable, non-duplicated, bytestream service can be provided by multiple communication protocols
- What is a policy and what is a mechanism is a matter of perspective...

Program Families and Subsets

- Program families are a collection of related modules or subsystems that form a reusable application *framework*, *e.g.*,
  - UNIX System V STREAMS I/O subsystem
  - Graphical user interface frameworks such as InterViews, MFC, and Fresco
- The components in a program family are similar enough that it makes sense to emphasize their similarities before discussing their differences
- *Motivation*
  - Program families are useful for implementing *subsets*
  - Reasons for providing subsets include cost, time, personnel resources, etc.

Program Families and Subsets (cont'd)

- Identifying subsets:
  - Analyze requirements to identify minimally useful subsets
  - Also identify minimal increments to subsets
- Advantages of subsetting:
  - Facilitates software system extension and contraction
  - Promotes reusability
  - Anticipates potential changes

Program Families and Subsets (cont'd)

- Program families support:
  - Different services for different markets
    - *e.g.*, different alphabets, different vertical applications, different I/O formats
  - Different hardware or software platforms
    - *e.g.*, compilers or OSs
  - Different resource trade-offs
    - *e.g.*, speed vs. space
  - Different internal resources
    - *e.g.*, shared data structures and library routines
  - Different external events
    - *e.g.*, UNIX I/O device interface
  - Backward compatibility
    - *e.g.*, sometimes it is important to retain bugs!