

97-0422

Performance analysis of ABR point-to-multipoint connections for bursty and non-bursty traffic with and without VBR background

S. Fahmy, R. Jain, S. Kalyanaraman, R. Goyal,
B. Vandalore, and X. Cai
The Ohio State University

Seong-Cheol Kim
Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.

<http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/>



- ❑ Issues in Multipoint ABR
- ❑ Point-to-multipoint ERICA
- ❑ Simulation results for various configurations and traffic patterns

Issues

- ❑ Minimum of ER from branches is sent upstream
Should we wait for all branches?
- ❑ If you send BRM on every FRM, you may give feedback without receiving any
⇒ Need to ensure that at least one feedback has been received before sending a BRM.
Otherwise, you may give PCR
- ❑ Not all downstream feedbacks in an upstream feedback
⇒ Consolidation noise
- ❑ Additional delay due to FRM wait and BRM consolidation at each level ⇒ Slower transient response

Point-to-Multipoint ERICA

- ❑ Framework from Larry Roberts' 94-0772R1
- ❑ At the end of Averaging Interval:
 - ❑ Compute input rate and # of active sources
- ❑ Upon receiving an FRM:
 - ❑ Process as usual (note CCR)
 - ❑ Multicast to all branches
 - ❑ $MXR = ER$ from FRM
 - ❑ $MER = \text{Min}\{MER, ER_ERICA\}$
 - ❑ Return BRM with $ER = MER$
 - ❑ $MER = MXR$

- Upon Receiving a BRM:
 - $MER = \text{Min}\{MER, ER \text{ from BRM}\}$
 - Discard BRM
- When sending a BRM:
 - Compute ER for each branch
 - $ER_ERICA = \text{Min ER for all branches}$
- For NI, CI, use "or" in place of "min"
- Multipoint ERICA with one leaf \Rightarrow Unicast ERICA

Parameters

- Unless indicated otherwise:
 - All links 155.52 Mbps, 1 km (LAN), 1000 km (WAN)
 - All VCs (unicast and multicast) are unidirectional
 - RIF = 1, ICR = PCR
 - TBE = Large (disable rule 6)

- ERICA (not ERICA+):
 - Target Utilization = 95% (LAN), 90% (WAN)
 - Averaging Interval
 - = Min{50 cells, 1 ms} for LANs
 - = Min{100 cells, 1 ms} for WANs
- Traffic:
 - VBR 3 ms on, 3 ms off (LAN)
 - 20 ms on, 20 ms off (WAN)
 - VBR amplitude = 80% (on), 0% (off)

Configurations

- ❑ Several variations of Parking lot and others
- ❑ Unicast and multicast mix
- ❑ Transient sources
- ❑ VBR background
- ❑ Multiple VBRs at different times
⇒ moving bottlenecks
- ❑ Widely varying link lengths
- ❑ Infinite sources, Bursty Sources,
Infinite, bursty, and VBR sources mixed

Simulation Results


- ❑ ACRs stabilize quickly
- ❑ Queue lengths are small in most cases
- ❑ Fast transient response
- ❑ Utilization of bottleneck links are high
- ❑ There is max-min fairness
- ❑ Size of the oscillations (consolidation noise) depends upon the feedback asynchronousness

Parking Lot and 2 VBR

- ❑ Two unicast VBRs
- ❑ When one is on, the other is off and vice versa
- ❑ Either link 1 is bottleneck or link 2 is bottleneck
- ❑ Non-bottleneck link gets low utilization since minimum of all feedbacks is given
- ❑ RIF=1 and 0.03125
- ❑ ICR = 12 Mbps, 150 Mbps
- ❑ See Figures 11 through 14

- ❑ High ICR and High RIF give the fastest transient response and best throughput.
- ❑ Long feedback delay \Rightarrow longer stabilization time but does reach steady state

Summary



- ❑ Larry Roberts multipoint framework + ERICA work ok
- ❑ Efficiency, fairness, responsiveness is maintained
- ❑ Consolidation noise due to asynchronous arrival of feedback from different leaves appears as oscillations
- ❑ Additional delay due to FRM wait and BRM consolidation
⇒ slower transient response than point-to-point

- ❑ Minimum of all paths is allocated
⇒ some links are underutilized
- ❑ Low RIF
⇒ Low Queues but also lower responsiveness
⇒ Lower throughput
- ❑ Low ICR
⇒ Low initial queues but also lower throughput
- ❑ Queue control (ERICA+) is required for stability